



re application of: Andres SOMMER

SERIAL NO.: 09/495,710

GROUP ART UNIT: 2882

FILED: February 1, 2000

EXAMINER: P. Hobden

TITLE: "COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY APPARATUS"

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE MAY 9, 2002 OFFICE ACTION

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington D.C. 20231

SIR:

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

☒ No additional fee is required.

The fee has been calculated as shown below.

he fee has been calculated as shown below.

CLAIMS AS AMENDED						
	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		(4) HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	(5) PRESENT EXTRA	(6) RATE	(7) ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS	*	MINUS	**	X	() X 9.00 () X 18.00	
INDEP. CLAIMS	*	MINUS		X	() X 40.00 () X 80.00	
Application amended to contain any multiple dependent claims not previously paid for.				() YES () NO	() \$135.00 () \$270.00 ONE TIME	
			TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT		\$0.00	

* If the entry in Column 2 is less than the entry in Column 4, write "0" in Column 5

** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20 write "20" in this space.

- ☐ Applicants petition the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks to extend this time for response to the Office Action dated _____ for _____ months so that the period for response is extended to _____. A check in the amount of \$_____ is attached to cover the cost of the extension. Any deficiency or overpayment should be charged or credited to deposit account No. 501519. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- ☐ A check in the amount of \$_____ is attached.
- ☐ A check for \$_____ accompanying IDS under 37 CFR 1.97(c) is attached
- ☐ A check for \$_____ and Petition for Consideration of IDS under 37 CFR 1.97(d) is attached.
- ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or to credit any overpayment to account No. 501519. A duplicate of this sheet is enclosed.
- When phoning re this application, please call (312) 258-5500.

SCHIFF HARDIN & WAITE (Customer Number: 26574)

Patent Department

BY Steven H. Noll (28,982)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on August 7, 2002

Steven H. Noll

NAME OF APPLICANT'S ATTORNEY

Steven H. Noll

SIGNATURE

August 7, 2002

DATE

cfm 8.7.02

Response 10/24/02 C. H. Lee

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE MAY 9, 2002 OFFICE ACTION

APPLICANT: Andres SOMMER

SERIAL NO.: 09/495,710

GROUP ART UNIT: 2882

FILED: February 1, 2000

EXAMINER: P. Hobden

TITLE: "COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY APPARATUS"

Assistant Commissioner for Patents,

Washington, D.C.

S I R:

Applicant and his counsel have carefully reviewed the Office Action dated May 9, 2002, but believe the claims as originally filed are patentable over the references relied upon by the Examiner. Reconsideration of the application in view of the following arguments in support of patentability is therefore respectfully requested.

REMARKS

In the May 9, 2002 Office Action, claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Fujita et al. Claims 6 and 7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujita et al., further in view of Gordon.

In substantiating the anticipation rejection based on Fujita et al., the Examiner cited the passage at column 14, lines 1-5 of the Fujita et al. reference as allegedly teaching that the support plate is non-displaceably mounted cantilevered to the carrier. Applicant respectfully submits that this language explicitly states exactly the opposite, and it is clear that the support plate in the Fujita et al. reference is, and